

DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE – 20 SEPTEMBER 2006**APPEAL DECISIONS**

APPEAL BY	LOCATION	APPLICATION NO	DESCRIPTION	APPEAL DECISION & DATE	DATE OF ORIGINAL DECISION	SUMMARY OF DECISION
Mr & Mrs Gary Brooks	Green Oak Cottage Green Road Rickling Green	UTT/1566/05/FUL	Appeal against refusal of a retrospective planning application for the erection of gate	DISMISSED 16-AUG-2006	15 November 2005	The Inspector concluded that this large pair of gates was out-of-keeping with the character of the conservation area
M & S Payne	Severals Farm Wicken Road Arkesden	UTT/0431/05/FUL	Appeal against refusal to grant planning permission for the renewal of planning permission to allow the stationing of a mobile home	DISMISSED JULY-2006	13 May 2005	The Inspector concluded that the mobile home conflicts with national, strategic and local planning policies regarding housing in that it harms the character by its presence, design and siting
<u>Appeals A & B</u> Mr P J Roberts & Ms B L Roberts	Site adj. Northgate Strethall Road Littlebury	UTT/1648/05/CA UTT/1647/05/FUL	Appeal against refusal of planning permission for the demolition of an existing garage/outbuilding and erection of new house	DISMISSED 23-AUG-2006	2 December 2005	The Inspector concluded that the development would be harmful to the appearance and character of the conservation area and to the amenity of the future occupants of a house currently under construction
<u>Appeals C & D</u> Mr P J Roberts & Ms B L Roberts	Site adj. Northgate Strethall Road Littlebury	UTT/0106/06/CA UTT/0105/06/FUL	Appeal against refusal of planning permission for the demolition of an existing garage/outbuilding and erection of new house	DISMISSED 23-AUG-2006	10 March 2006	The Inspector concluded that the development would harm the character and appearance of the conservation area
<u>A F & A D</u> <u>Appleby</u>	Land at Nos.1&2 The Common Church Walk Littlebury	UTT/1901/05/FUL	Appeal against refusal of planning permission for the erection of 2 dwellings with new vehicular access and parking	DISMISSED 24-AUG-2006	24 February 2006	The Inspector concluded that the development would harm the outlook of existing houses, have inadequate amenity space, and would leave no room for off-street parking for the existing development at No.2 The Common